Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/722,586	NAKAYAMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	RICHARD M. BEMBEN	2622
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>RICHARD M. BEMBEN</u> .	(3)	
(2) Thomas E. McKiernan (Reg. No. 37,889).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 March 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1,11,12 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Amending independent claim 1 to include the limitations of claims 11 and 12 would put the application in condition for allowance. See the reasons set forth in the attached document.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)